Remarks

Reconsideration and allowance of the present patent application based on the foregoing amendments and following remarks are respectfully requested.

In the pending Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3 and 6-7, under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement; rejected claims 1-3 and 6-7, under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly containing indefinite terms; rejected claim 4, under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as allegedly being anticipated by Malamud '890; and rejected claim 5, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as allegedly being unpatentable over Malamud '890 in view of Niwa '320.

The Examiner also objected to the Drawings and claims 1-3 and 6-7 for certain informalities.

By this Amendment, claims 1, 2 and 4 have been amended for form and clarity and claim 7 has been cancelled. No new matter has been introduced. As such, claims 1-6 are currently presented for examination, of which claim 1 is independent.

By virtue of the changes to the claims, including the deletion of the claim phrases "radius R is larger than a pixel of an accumulated image" and "radius R is larger than a pixel of a transmission image," the objections and §112, first and second paragraph rejections of claims 1-3 and 6 as well as the objection to the Drawings have been obviated. Accordingly, the immediate withdrawal of these objections and rejections is respectfully requested. It should be noted that claims 1-3 and 6 are, therefore, patentable, and a notice to this effect will be appreciated

Applicants respectfully traverse the §102(b) and §103(a)rejections, for the following reasons:

I. Rejections Under §102(b) & §103(a).

As noted above, independent claim 4 is directed to stereoradioscopic image constructing equipment and references the X-ray tomography of claim 1. Thus, claim 4

Customer No.: 00909

Application Serial No.: 10/590,517

Attorney Docket No. 070120-0356174 Response to Office Action mailed August 25, 2009

incorporates the features of claim 1, such as, an X-ray generator being fixed, having a

function of moving a focal position and radiating X-rays toward a subject, a planar X-ray

image receiving element for receiving a plurality of transmission images of the subject

formed by the X-rays radiated from the X-ray generator while the focal position is moved,

the planar X-ray image receiving element being fixed, and an image processing section for

creating a tomographic image by processing the plurality of transmission images of the

subject received by the X-ray image receiving element. In the X-ray tomography, the subject

is fixed between the X-ray generator and the planar X-ray image receiving element and the

X-ray generator has a radiation plane which is parallel to the planar X-ray image receiving

element, and the focal position of the X-ray generator is rotatable on the circumference on

the radiation plane.

Moreover, claim 4, via reference to claim 1, also positively recites the feature that

the image processing section cuts out images from the individual transmission images

corresponding to individual focal positions of the X-ray generator and accumulates the

cut-out images to create an accumulated image, each of the cut-out images has a virtual

center which is positioned on the circumference with a radius R from a center of each of

the transmission images.

The claim features noted above are amply supported and described by the

embodiments disclosed throughout the written description. By way of illustration only, the

disclosed embodiments provide a configuration in which the tomographic image of the

subject can be obtained easily without disposing a movable mechanism for moving the X-ray

generator, the X-ray image receiving element, or the subject. For example, a tomographic

image of a soft subject can also be accurately and dependably obtained. Moreover, the

tomographic images corresponding to the individual tomographic planes can be obtained by

one rotation of the moving a focal position along the circumference, so that the

photographing speed of the tomographic image can be enhanced, and the time required to

obtain the tomographic image can be decreased, regardless of the size of the subject in

comparison with the method to rotate and translate the X-ray generator or the subject.

6

Applicants point out that none of the references, whether taken alone or in

combination, suggest each and every element of claims 1 and 4 including, for example, the

claim features noted above. In particular, the primary reference, Malamud '890 discloses an

imaging apparatus that comprises an X-ray generator having the function of moving a focal

position and radiating X-rays toward a subject, an X-ray image receiving element for

receiving a plurality of transmission images of the subject formed by the X-rays radiated

from the X-ray generator, and an image processing section for creating a higher resolution

transmission image by processing the plurality of lower resolution transmission images of

the subject received by the X-ray image receiving element.

In addition, Malamud '890 specifically teaches that shifting circuit 58 shift each of

the four sub-images by a half pixel in the appropriate lateral and vertical direction and the

combining processor 60 loads the shifted images into the image memory 62. (See,

Malamud '890: col. 4, lines 15-18).

In so doing, there is nothing in Malamud '890 that remotely suggests that the image

processing section cuts out images from individual transmission images corresponding to

individual focal positions of the X-ray generator and accumulates the cut-out image to

create an accumulated image, the cut-out image has a virtual center which is positioned on

a circumference with a radius R from a center of the transmission image, as required by

claim 4 by virtue of referring to claim 1.

Applicants further submit that the remaining reference, Niwa '320, is incapable of

curing the deficiencies of Malamud '890 and fails in its own right to suggest each and every

element of the claim. Thus, for at least these reasons, Applicants submit claim 4 is neither

anticipated nor rendered obvious by the asserted references and is, therefore, clearly

patentable. And because claim 5 depends from claim 4, claim 5 is patentable at least by

virtue of dependency as well as for its additional recitations.

Accordingly, the immediate withdrawal of the §102(b) and §103(a) rejections is

respectfully requested.

7

Customer No.: 00909
Application Serial No.: 10/590,517
Attorney Docket No. 070120-0356174
Response to Office Action mailed August 25, 2009

Conclusion

Having addressed each of the foregoing rejections, it is respectfully submitted that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Please charge any fees associated with the submission of this paper to Deposit Account Number 033975. The Commissioner for Patents is also authorized to credit any over payments to the above-referenced Deposit Account.

Date: November 20, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By:

E. Rico Hernandez

Registration No. 47/641

Customer No. 00909

PILLSBURY WINT/HROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

P.O. Box 10500¹

McLean, Virginia 22102 Main: 703-770-7900

Direct Dial: 703-770-7788

Fax: 703-770-7901